UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
WESTERN DIVISION

1

AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

vs. Case No. 20-cv-5026

J. CRISMAN PALMER and GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP,

Defendants.

Deposition via Zoom of: COLIN F. CAMPBELL

Date: December 19, 2022

Time: 2:16 p.m.

APPEARANCES

Scott R. Hoyt Pia Hoyt, LLC Salt Lake City, Utah

Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Jason R. Sutton Boyce Law Firm, LLP Sioux Falls, South Dakota

Attorney for the Defendants

REPORTED BY: Audrey M. Barbush, RPR

Paramount Reporting ~ Audrey M. Barbush, RPR 605.321.3539 ~ audrey@paramountreporting.com

,		
		6
1	А	I am.
2	Q	In what states are you licensed?
3	A	I'm licensed in states in Arizona and in California.
4		I'm licensed in different federal courts.
5	Q	Have you ever appeared in any proceedings in
6		South Dakota pro hac vice?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Have you ever been licensed in the state of
9		South Dakota?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Have you ever been to South Dakota?
12	А	Yes. I've been through Sioux Falls.
13	Q	What brought you to Sioux Falls?
14	А	My wife and I have several children, and my wife, on
15		one of our vacations, wanted to bring our girls to the
16		Little House on the Prairie sites, and one of those
17		sites is in South Dakota. I think we drove across
18		South Dakota from Sioux Falls in the east all the way
19		over to Pierre and Rapid City on the west. And I can't
20		remember the particular name of the town, somewhere in
21		the middle of the state we went to, which had the
22		particular Little House on the Prairie site she was
23		interested in.
24	Q	Approximately how long ago was that?
25	А	Oh, gosh. That would have been between 1990 and 1995.

8 1 represent Zurich in claims direct to them over the last 2 couple years? 3 Α No, I don't. Would you consider Zurich to be a client of the law 4 0 5 firm? I could run a conflict check if you would like, 6 Α 7 but I don't -- to my knowledge -- I mean, if something came across the board on a conflict, I wouldn't think 8 9 we'd have a conflict. And I don't think we've 10 represented them. 11 As part of your experience in private practice, have Q 12 you appeared as counsel of record defending any bad faith cases? 13 You have to understand that in most all contract cases, 14 A 15 there's an allegation of breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, and I have done contract 16 17 defense cases. So I'm sure there's some allegations of bad faith and fair dealing in contract cases. In terms 18 19 of insurance bad faith, I can recall suing an insurance 20 company for bad faith and fair dealing. But I do both plaintiff and defense work, and nothing else comes to 21 22 mind. 23 Q The plaintiffs case which you were referring to, do you recall approximately when that was? 24 25 Within the last three years. Α

Ī		
		9
1	Q	Do you recall who the insurance carrier was that you
2		were suing?
3	А	Yes. I think its initials were USIC. They provide
4		insurance in construction defect cases, and I believe I
5		was representing a contractor on a first-party bad
6		faith claim against USIC.
7	Q	Was that actually an action that was filed in court?
8	А	Yes. It was a federal action here in the district of
9		Arizona.
10	Q	Is that matter still pending?
11	A	No. It settled.
12	Q	So is that the only case you can recall being counsel
13		of record in which there were allegations of insurance
14		bad faith?
15	A	No. [I'm just thinking of the more recent past. [I had]
16		a Damron case, which is a case where an insurance
17		company failed to defend an insured. We got a Damron
18		agreement and then sued the insurance company for a
19		judgment we had obtained.
20	Q	Is that essentially an assignment of the bad faith
21		case?
22	A	Yes. It's basically an assignment of the bad faith
23		case. They're called Damron agreements in Arizona.
24		think in other states they're maybe called Mary Carter
25		agreements.

10 You know, I've been back in practice for 15 years. 1 If I were to go through everything I've done, I'm sure 2 I'd run across some bad faith cases, but nothing comes 3 to mind right now. 4 5 At any time do you recall ever being engaged by an Q 6 insurance company as defense counsel to defend a bad 7 faith case? Nothing comes to mind right now. I consult on a 8 Α 9 variety of cases here in the office, as I'm one of the more senior attorneys. So if there's cases heading to 10 11 trial, it's not usual for people to consult with me 12 about them. Prior to your engagement in this matter, did you know 13 0 14 Mr. Hoyt? 15 I had one other case where I was an expert witness for 16 Zurich. I believe Mr. Hoyt was the attorney on that 17 case. What was the nature of that case? 18 Q 19 Α Well, I'm going to have to -- I'd have to go back and 20 review the records. One of my partners, Mr. Mark Harrison, was the expert witness on the case. 21 22 Mr. Harrison passed away, and the representation came to me. And I remember reviewing the case and adopting 23 24 the same opinions Mr. Harrison had. My recollection 25 is, though, is that the case settled pretty quickly.

		11
1	Q	Do you recall the nature of the legal claims that were
2		at issue in that case?
3	А	Not off the top of my head. If you want me to, I can
4		go to my electronic file and see if I can find it.
5	Q	Let me ask it this way. Was it a legal malpractice
6		case?
7	А	You know, I don't even recall that right now, but I can
8		go into my electronic file and see if I can find it.
9	Q	We can maybe do that at a break.
10		Other than your working on that matter previously
11		in which Mr. Hoyt was counsel, do you recall any other
12		experiences you've had with him professionally?
13	A	No.
14	Q	How about personally?
15	A	No. We've only talked on the phone, and I think this
16		is the first time I've seen him face to face, on Zoom.
17	Q	So there's this case. You mentioned a previous Zurich
18		matter in which another lawyer in your firm was
19		involved and you adopted his opinions. Are there any
20		other cases in which you've been engaged as an expert
21		witness?
22	A	For any person or just for Zurich?
23	Q	For any purpose, by anyone.
24	A	Yes. You know, given my age and the fact I'm a former
25		judge, I'm retained from time to time as an expert

		12
1		witness on various issues.
2	Q	Do you recall whether your retention in any of those
3		other cases involved allegations of bad faith by an
4		insurance company?
5	A	I don't recall that. I've been retained to discuss the
6		reasonableness of attorney fees. I've been retained to
7		testify with respect to the standard of care for
8		lawyers. I think I recall being retained once early on
9		since I came back into private practice on a malicious
10		prosecution case. But various issues.
11	Q	Has any court ever excluded any of the opinions that
12		you proffered?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Have you ever testified live at a trial?
15	A	I testified at a bench trial. When you say "live," I
16		assume that includes via Zoom. It was a case that took
17		place during the pandemic, and I testified by Zoom.
18		I've testified at an arbitration hearing. Other than
19		that, I think most of the cases I've had either settled
20		or I submitted affidavits.
21	Q	Do you recall being deposed in any of the cases in
22		which you've been involved in which you did not testify
23		at trial?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Let me ask this: Have you ever testified at either a

		16
1	Q	Looking at page 2 of your report, continuing through
2		page 6, there are 79 individual documents that are
3		referenced as having been reviewed.
4		My first question is, do you recall reviewing
5		anything else in forming your opinions other than those
6		items?
7	A	There are 79 items listed here. I don't recall
8		reviewing anything else, but I haven't sat down and
9		compared this list with everything I have in this
10		notebook in front of me.
11	Q	And in fairness, I'll acknowledge that this list does
12		not include Mr. Hieb's expert disclosure because that
13		was provided after your report. I assume you've
14		reviewed that.
15	A	Yes, I have.
16	Q	Other than Mr. Hieb's expert disclosure, do you recall
17		reviewing any other documents after you prepared your
18		report in this case?
19	A	I just don't recall if anything came in after we did
20		our report. I don't think so.
21	Q	The 79 individual documents, or groupings of documents,
22		on pages 2 to 6, have you personally reviewed all of
23		those documents?
24	А	I'm pretty sure most of them I have reviewed or
25		skimmed. I put more emphasis on some rather than

20 Mr. Molinar in finalizing it. Is there any other work 1 2 that you performed in forming the opinions contained within Exhibit 115? 3 4 Well, I've been through the report several times. Α 5 know, things take place over time, and if there was --6 something comes up, I'd need to review the materials to 7 refresh my recollection. I went back through all these materials in 8 9 preparation for my deposition. If we had meetings where I was going to be talking with the client, I 10 11 would have gone through materials to refresh my 12 recollection again. So I haven't just looked at them one time, is what 13 I'm suggesting. 14 15 Is there any other work you recall performing in order 0 to form your opinions in this matter? 16 17 I don't know what you mean by "any other work." Α 18 You cite some legal resources in your opinion, Q 19 specifically the Rules of Professional Conduct as well 20 as the Restatement (Third) of Lawyers; is that right? Correct. 21 Is there any legal research that you performed other 22 0 than the reference to the Rules of Professional Conduct 23 and the Third Restatement of Lawyers? 24 I don't think in terms of legal research. However, I 25 A

21 have practiced for 45 years, and I have a great deal of 1 2 experience with respect to a wide variety of cases. So, you know, I bring all of that into the opinions 3 that I form, and work I've done in the past involves 4 5 research with respect to the conduct of lawyers and what's expected of lawyers. I sat on the State Bar 6 7 Ethics Committee, the State Bar here in Arizona, in the 1980s. I was the chair of that committee. When I was 8 9 a judge, I believe I also sat on the judicial ethics committee and taught judicial ethics. I have a lot of 10 11 training and experience in what I believe goes into the concept of the standard of care. 12 The Rules of Professional Conduct that you cite in 13 0 those reports, is that the model rule of professional 14 15 conduct or is that the South Dakota specifically 16 adopted rule? 17 I would have to ask Mr. Molinar. I didn't -- he didn't -- I'm not aware of any substantial difference 18 19 with respect to the standard of care rules he's citing 20 between South Dakota and the rest of the country. Did you perform any South Dakota-specific research in 21 Q 22 forming your opinions in this case? No, I don't think so. I've certainly read your 23 Α 24 expert's report. 25 Q Let's turn to page 6 of your report, please.

		39
1		manganably, way have to propore the gage way have to
1		reasonably, you have to prepare the case, you have to
2		research the case, you have to keep your client
3		informed of the case, all within the context of the
4		particular circumstances of the case.
5	Q	Are you considering whether there are any
6		South Dakota-specific aspects of the applicable
7		standard of care in this case?
8	A	Well, the circumstances of every case are important,
9		and from what I understand the particular South Dakota
10		aspects, the locality, as your expert refers to it, has
11		to do with this particular lawyer and how he practices.
12	Q	Would you agree with me that that's an appropriate
13		consideration in evaluating the standard of care in
14		this case?
15	A	Yes, I would.
16	Q	Did you speak with any South Dakota lawyers in
17		preparation of your opinions in this matter?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Now, as I understand it, one of your opinions is that
20		Attorney Palmer fell below the standard of care in
21		failing to plead certain affirmative defenses in this
22		case. Is that true?
23	А	I think you misstated it.
24	Q	Okay. Correct me.
25	A	My opinion I'm sorry?